CERTIFIED COPY

1	CITY OF NEW HAVEN
2	
3	DEPARTMENT OF POLICE SERVICE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS
4	SPECIAL MEETING
5	AUGUST 13, 2024 6:02 p.m.
6	HELD VIA: ZOOM
7	HELD VIA: ZOOM
8	
9	
10	Present:
11	Commissioner Evelise Ribeiro, Chair
12	Commissioner Donald Walker, Vice Chair Commissioner Darrell Brooks
13	Commissioner Isaias Miranda Commissioner Michael Lawlor
14	Commissioner Tracey Meares
15	
16	Asia Johnson-Clark, Administrative Assistant
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Debra Chasse, Court Reporter, CSR No. 00055
24	Denie Grabbe, court Reporter, con No. 00000
25	
رد	

1	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: It is 6:02
2	p.m. and I'll call the traffic authority meeting to
3	order. Mr. Fischer, very nice to see you. I'll
4	entertain a motion for approval of the agenda for the
5	traffic authority.
6	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move we
7	approve the agenda for tonight's meeting, Madam Chair.
8	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second that,
9	Madam Chair.
10	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Motion was
11	made by Commissioner Lawlor and seconded by
12	Commissioner Brooks to approve the agenda. Any
13	discussion?
14	Commissioner Lawlor, how do you
15	vote?
16	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
18	Brooks?
19	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
21	Meares?
22	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: For the
24	record, in attendance is myself, Commissioner Ribeiro,
25	Commissioner Meares, Commissioner Lawlor, and

1	Commissioner Brooks. Commissioners Walker and Miranda
2	should hopefully be joining us later this evening.
3	Moving on, we'll entertain motions
4	for approval of the regular minutes meeting regular
5	meeting minutes of July 9, 2024.
6	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I'll move we
7	approve the minutes for the regular meeting.
8	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Second, Madam
9	Chair.
10	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a
11	motion by Commissioner Lawlor and seconded by
12	Commissioner Brooks to approve the minutes of July 9,
13	2024. Any discussion? Commissioner Lawlor, how do you
14	vote?
15	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
17	Brooks?
18	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
20	Meares?
21	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: And I am a
23	yes, as well.
24	We'll move on to the action items.
25	Mr. Fischer?

1	MR. FISCHER: Good evening. Item
2	No. 1 is a request of Alder Rodriguez from Ward 6 for
3	establishing residential parking regulation on both
4	sides of Washington Avenue from Liberty Street to
5	Lafayette Street and also on the south side of Prince
6	Street from Lafayette Street to Gold Street. I'd like
7	to mention that Alder Rodriguez is in attendance. Is
8	anyone going to be able to put up the
9	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have an
10	item 1. Is there a motion?
11	COMMISSIONER MEARES: I think Mr.
12	Fischer was wondering if someone could share a screen
13	on Zoom to put up whatever? What are you wondering?
14	MR. FISCHER: There's a drawing.
15	I'm wondering if you can put that up.
16	MS. JOHNSON-CLARK: It should be in
17	the e-mail with you and the commissioners on the 9th.
18	It should say August items.
19	COMMISSIONER MEARES: I have it.
20	I'll share it.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I have it up,
22	if you give me sharing. I'll bring it up, if you still
23	can't find it.
24	ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: Go ahead.
25	I just made you host.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Can you see

the screen?

4 COMMISSIONER MEARES: I think it's

MR. FISCHER:

Yes.

That's it right there.

MR. FISCHER:

5 the third page.

So we're looking at the request for -- the uppermost one is Washington Avenue. We're looking at it and that would be the location from Liberty to Lafayette, and the lower one is the south side of Prince Street. We had requests because these streets are heavily parked by persons working at Yale-New Haven Hospital. There's not as much opportunity for the residents that live in the buildings with sort of -- there's a lot of new residents in this area, and the people who live in those areas don't get an opportunity to use the parking on the streets. So the Alder has asked the board to declare these streets as part of the zone 14 and that was last meeting, and now we've come to you to make this a regulation so it can become part of zone 14.

They are metered spaces. So the way this would work is that they would stay metered spaces and that people who park during the times that these are metered, which is 8 a.m. to 9 p.m. Monday through Saturday, people who want to have the opportunity to

pay without a permit, people who have the permit can park there free during those times, and they can park there all day, all night with a permit.

So we're asking for you to give regulation for people who live there and park without having to pay at the meters. Basically that's the situation in the metered areas.

understand the nature of the problem and I understand and maybe the Alder there can answer this as well. I understand that this solution helps some of the people who live there who obviously should have an opportunity to park in the place that they live, but the people who work there still need somewhere to park, so how are we solving that problem?

MR. FISCHER: The Yale employees have a lot of opportunity to park at offsite spaces. These spaces are more convenient, in some cases, than the places they might have to park. There are spaces along frontage Road and they have parking. There are ample places for the people who work at Yale-New Haven Hospital and other transportation alternatives, but they choose to park on these streets because they're convenient.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: Okay.

1 MR. FISCHER: And, also, one of the 2 other things that's going on here, and this is a 3 citywide zoning issue, where these new buildings are 4 providing parking but they're charging for it. 5 COMMISSIONER MEARES: By these 6 buildings, do you mean like this luxury furnished 7 apartment by Hiatus, whoever they are. 8 MR. FISCHER: They're charging for 9 off-street parking. They're providing some parking, 10 but they're charging for it. And some of the people 11 who live in these buildings cannot necessarily afford 12 the parking, and some of the people who work at Yale or 13 other places nearby are taking rental spaces, and so 14 there's a need for parking for the people who live in 15 these new apartments, some of which are below market 16 rate, and they're the ones that are in a pinch for 17 parking. 18 So I have a COMMISSIONER MEARES: 19 follow-up question. It sounds like you said anybody 20 who lives there can apply for one of these permits. 21 Right? 22 If they're residents, MR. FISCHER: 23 yes. 24 COMMISSIONER MEARES: Is there going 25 to be a priority for the group of people -- it still

sounds like there's going to be a question, no matter what. My concern, then, would be if we're really trying to solve the people for people who are having affordability issues, but there's no priority for people who have that to get the permit, then we still haven't solved the problem.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I think,

Alder, I'm going to acknowledge her to answer your

question, Commissioner Meares. Alder Rodriguez?

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: Good evening. Can
you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Yes, we can.

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: Perfect. So the reason this came about, it is actually for 49 Prince Street. We have a lot of residents there that this is was a Randy Sabatur, he's got the old -- and the residents there are receiving two to three tickets a day, so I have -- I had a meeting with the residents. What we're looking for and in speaking to Eric Hoffman it is going to -- we're going to have to get signage that says parking for residents that live at 49 Prince Street on that actual street.

To be clear, a lot of the residents, those are luxury apartments on -- there's three luxury apartments, and then there's 49 Prince Street who is

the affordable ones. So we're looking to support the 49 Prince Street individuals, and the church also does -- St. Anthony's Church provides parking at \$100 a month. A lot of those residents that live in the luxury apartments are taking those, and I don't foresee those who live in luxury apartments wanting to put out their cars, because they're not out there now.

I work at Yale-New Haven Hospital and they offered those of us that work at the hospital -- I park at a lot on Howard Avenue. What's happening, yes, there are employees of the hospital that are taking advantage of the street -- the local residents parking in this area. We had a similar incident on Salem and that needed to be zones, if that helps. I hope that clarifies some of the concerns.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: I think the approach makes sense, as long as the people who are making efforts to make sure that the people who really need it are the people who are receiving the benefit because, if not, we're back where we started from; right?

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Madam Chair, if I may, it seems to me that wether the residents -- and I agree with Commissioner Meares, and I think they should have preference, but it seems to me leaving

1 meters there and not making it exclusively for the residents, particularly at 49, it's meaningless. If I 2 get to work at 8 o'clock and a resident has to leave 3 4 and be to work at 7:30, I'll park there, but if they 5 get off at 3 and I don't get off until 8, I don't have 6 nowhere to park. So it seems to me that we're 7 defeating the purpose. I seems to me it needs to be 8 absolutely for the residents of 49 Prince Street. I 9 don't know if that's possible. It seems to me that 10 should be the answer, rather than people still trying 11 to play with getting a parking space. 12 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Mr. Fischer? 13 MR. FISCHER: They're getting 14 tickets for not paying the meters. They're not paying. 15 I don't know that availability is the issue. 16 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I understand

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I understand that, but I think -- but they don't pay for a parking space? It's one thing. But if the --

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FISCHER: They're finding spaces, but they're not paying the tickets. They're not -- they don't want to pay for the spaces, so we're providing them free spaces.

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I hear you.

So, then, my question, sir, would be to the Alderman

Rodriguez, are you finding, as a representative of this

community, that individuals are finding it okay to park in front of their building, or are they competing with staff and members of the hospital?

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: They are competing. They are competing with those who don't live in the area, because there are staffers, and they are -- most of them are paying their tickets. I'm going to say I have someone who several -- again, in meeting with them, they're having difficulty staying up with the amount of cost it's costing them, because if they're five minutes late to go feed the meter -- these residents that live at 49 Prince Street are feeding the meters constantly. But if they miss -- if they get busy, just say, and the meter runs out, they're getting a ticket. I can tell you that several residents there have over \$1,000 in tickets that they're all trying to be able to afford.

The folks in the luxury complexes don't have that problem because, again, I've been in that neighborhood. They come in and out. A lot of those are medical providers, people who are visiting nurses -- not visiting nurses, traveling nurses, that are able to afford -- the cost to park in these lots is \$150 a month. And for the residents at 49 Prince Street who are in an affordable complex, and some of

them are retired, that is difficult. That are also parking three to four -- because they can't find the space, they're parking three to four streets away.

I have a young lady who was in the meeting. She said, you know, I was being followed by a man, and I wanted to rush and get in the door. So now it's a safety concern, it is a financial burden and, again, I do not believe that those who are in the luxury apartments are going to want to park in our local streets.

What I will say is yes, there are -I'm going to say whomever has an appointment, even the
medical facility, it's \$3 to stay there right next door
to Prince Street, but people just tend to park on the
meter. They park on the meter and some of those are
probably not -- I can't attest to that, because I
haven't been out there when people are parking, but the
residents, they reached out. I'm concerned for their
safety late at night when some of these younger folks
are working there, and that's why the request is being
made.

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: So then I go back to my original, what is the challenge with making this exclusively residential parking?

MR. FISCHER: The question is we're

1 trying to get them free parking. 2 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: No, I hear 3 what you're saying, Mr. Fischer. I'm asking, 4 specifically, how do we make this residential only 5 exclusively. 6 MR. FISCHER: The city is ready to 7 support this and we need this to pay for -- we don't --8 we need to do this this way. 9 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm confused. 10 In your request to establish residential parking, what 11 am I missing? 12 MR. FISCHER: We're doing what we 13 were asked to do, which is allow the people who live 14 there to park free. 15 COMMISSIONER MEARES: We understand 16 that you think that that is what you're doing, 17 what you were asked to do. It's or job to actually, in 18 addition to just approve requests, is to see the nature 19 of the problem and make sure that the solution is a 20 solution that makes sense, and what you are proposing 21 right now, in my mind --22 MR. FISCHER: The Board of Alders --23 COMMISSIONER MEARES: I'm still 24 speaking. 25 Commissioner CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:

Meares.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MEARES: The point of confusion that I think both I and Commissioner Brooks have has to do with the nature of what we're hearing. So you're saying, Mr. Fischer, that people who are residents can find spaces, but they're not paying the meter, because they don't -- maybe because they feel they shouldn't or it's too expensive or whatever. What I'm hearing Alder Rodriguez say is two things. No. 1 is that they cannot find spaces, actually, and they're having to find spaces two or three streets away, and also when and if they are able to find spaces near their homes, they are having to pay lots of money, and so, to that end, I think Commissioner Brooks and I are on the same page. It seems like what we're trying to do is make sure that residents can park without paying, why are we having a situation with the meters at all and just saying only residents get to park here. all live in places where that's true; if you don't have the resident sticker on your car, then you can't park there. Why aren't we doing that?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Let me ask the question this way. Alder Rodriguez, what is it that you're asking on behalf of the residents? We're going to assume you spoke with the residents. What is it

that you're specifically asking for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: I'm looking for them to be able to park at 49 Prince Street. There are -- inside that apartment complex, there are only seven slots. Seven parking spaces. People are already paying for those. Okay? Those who can afford it. What I'm looking for is parking on 49 Prince Street and, to be clear, because I've walked down that area, and I can do it tomorrow and send a picture out, across from St. Anthony's Church there are no meters there, and people just park and cars stay there all day. That is my walking space, because I work across the street at the hospital, so I typically come through Howard Avenue. I actually took a walk through there today, so if Mr. Fischer, if you'd like to meet me tomorrow onsite, there are no parking meters across from St. Anthony's Church.

MR. FISCHER: Yes, because of the construction. That is actually a metered area and when that is done, the meters would be restored.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Would it make sense, since there seems to be come confusion around this, but would it make sense to table this and Alder Rodriguez to meet with Mr. Fischer, or his department, to determine exactly what is needed. We can take this

up next month.

alder Rodriguez: So I do have an urgency, because my residents continue to get tickets. It's not because they don't want to pay the meter.

Let's be clear. They -- if they're busy in the shower, if they're cooking -- I have a resident who got three tickets in one day. So they need to constantly run outside from their home, so how do we fix this? And the -- I'm going to remind Mr. Fischer that he was out there with me. I would be more than happy to revisit the spot again, but we need to get a resolution for these residents. They have a different income bracket.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'll agree

with that.

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I think
there's a solution that Mr. Fischer suggested. The
unfortunate thing is that I don't think that that's an
adequate solution to the residents. I believe that
that should be, at least that block, should be reserved
for the residents. I can't imagine that if we make
that exclusively residents, that we would lose a ton of
revenue from the city. I just can't imagine it. So
how many parking spaces are we talking about?

Rodriguez said at least seven. How many cars can you

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:

Alder

1 accommodate on the street? 2 MR. FISCHER: I don't have a count 3 of that number of spaces on either street. 4 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: So this 5 stretch here, you can see my pointer; right? Seems to 6 be the main issue; right? Question. If we made it 7 residential parking and they had a residential sticker, 8 regardless if there was a meter there or not, would 9 they be ticketed if they run without the meter? 10 MR. FISCHER: That's the point of --11 that would be the result of this item on both streets. 12 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: If there's 13 meter there and the person has a residential sticker 14 and they do not feed the meter, they will not get a 15 ticket. 16 MR. FISCHER: Correct, on both 17 streets. 18 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Okay. Maybe 19 we can test it out. Maybe we can see --20 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I don't think 21 it's the issue of getting the ticket; right? Because 22 if we give them the vouchers or we give them the pass, 23 that's clear, but if they get into the front of the 24 building -- let's say it's a 62-year old lady who's got 25 a bunch of groceries, but they're all parked up,

because workers from the hospital, so she's got to park over on the other side and then carry her bag a block. I'm saying make this Prince Street, at least Prince Street, exclusively for 49 Prince Street resident parking only. Now, if they happen to park on the other street that you have, you know, leave the meters there, but if somebody can't park because there's more cars than there are -- there are less parking spaces than there are for cars and if somebody parks on the other side, I'm not sure what that street is, I can't see it, and they have to walk to Prince Street, then that's fine. I think Prince Street should be exclusively for the residents.

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: If I may,

Commissioners. They were planning on adding

Washington -- there were three streets that they were

planning on adding. It was going to be 49 Prince

Street and then they were going to add Washington as an overflow in the event that the 49 Prince Street

residents couldn't get a spot right on Prince Street.

I appreciate, and I think absolutely, I would love to see the 49 Prince Street that faces that street be just for residents that live at 49 Prince Street, and then if there's an overflow and there's no parking on the other side of Washington, my residents are aware that

they would need to park on Washington and then walk back home. But, again, that would be just as great, because they won't be receiving a ticket. They're paying for the meter now and they're also paying for the tickets that they're getting, so please understand they're willing to do what's best, but they're also concerned about their safety, and this is why I'm speaking on this. Thank you, Commissioners. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioners --MR. FISCHER: Can I just say one

thing?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Yes. Go

14 ahead.

MR. FISCHER: First of all, anybody with a zone 14 sticker can park on either street from any part of zone 14. It's not exclusively for 49 Prince Street. That's the way you law reads.

Secondly, if you pass this the way it's written, we can do this immediately. The paperwork is going to take awhile to come back and do it again. I would suggest that we pass this as written, and we can come back and do what you're asking in another iteration. If this does not go, we can come back and remove the meter on a second request. That is

not exclusive to 49 Prince Street. Anybody with a zone pass, no matter where they live in zone 14, can park there.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Alder

Rodriguez?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALDER RODRIGUEZ: So I hear what Mr. Fischer is saying. If we can try this out -- again, I do not believe that those folks that are living in the luxury apartments, if they actually wanted to park on the street, they would be parking, and so they're already inside the building. There's one, two -- I'm going to say there's a third one that's coming up, so let's say Prince Street is the first one and Prince Street is being followed up by the luxury apartments there. Those folks, the majority of them, are parking inside the parking lot, so if we can -- that's fine. understand that the residents have to go get their zoning passes. We talked about that prior to coming to have you all here. Having them park on Washington and Prince Street would alleviate the financial burden on them.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Can we do
this. Right? We have a recommendation in front of us.
If you're comfortable with the recommendation, which is
to establish residential parking on both sides of

1 Washington Avenue from Liberty Street to Lafayette 2 Street to establish residential parking from Prince 3 Street to Lafayette to Gold Street, and we decide that 4 we're going to approve this tonight, you and Mr. 5 Fischer and the traffic department get back together, 6 see how this works, test it out for a month or two and 7 come back with a revised proposal, say, October, if 8 this doesn't seem to meet your needs. Is that 9 something that's agreeable to you, Alder Rodriguez? 10 ALDER RODRIGUEZ: Yes, Commissioner. 11 That is absolutely -- that works. Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioners, 13 if you're satisfied, I will entertain a motion. 14 there's anything else that someone would like to add. 15 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I'll move, 16 Madam Chair, that we approve the recommendation. 17 COMMISSIONER MEARES: I'll second 18 it. 19 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 20 Lawlor, can we add the part that stated earlier about 21 the --22 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Sure. 23 sorry. With the caveat that in a couple of months all 24 the parties, the Alder, and the traffic authority will 25 return to us and brief us on how things are going and

1	give us an opportunity to reconsider our decision.
2	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Do you still
3	second that motion, Commissioner Meares?
4	COMMISSIONER MEARES: I do.
5	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you. I
6	have a motion by Commissioner Lawlor. For our court
7	reporter, I hope you got all that. I know it was a
8	lot. Thank you. Any discussion? We'll call the vote
9	Commissioner Lawlor?
10	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
12	Meares?
13	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
15	Brooks?
16	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I saw that
18	Commissioner Miranda joined about 6:13 p.m., for the
19	record. Commissioner Miranda, how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: I'll abstain.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Yeah, you came
22	in part way. I'm a yes, as well. Thank you for all
23	that information, and we do look forward to seeing you
24	both back here on that item in October or November.
25	Thank you.

Let's move on to item 2.

MR. FISCHER: Item 2 is a request from this department, with the Alder's approval, for bike lanes on, I believe, three roadways. If you can put those up, that would be fine. We have Blatchley Avenue -- we have one block section from Blatchley Avenue between Clay and Grand, a two block section of -- it's more than two blocks. It's from Orange Street to College Street on Grove Street and George Street from Park Street to College street. This had to do with the -- it's going to be several more. We're not ready to bring those to you just yet, but we're ready to start marking these out, and we'd like to get your approval before we start doing these.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Okay.

Commissioners, any questions? I have a question. I mean, bike lanes is something that you definitely have to bring to us. At some point, and I know I can e-mail, at some point I think I'd like to see some data on how many bike lanes have been established in the city. I don't know, maybe the last three years. Just out of curiosity for me.

MR. FISCHER: I'm not understanding what exactly you're looking for.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Like the areas

1 I drive all around the city and I'm seeing bike lanes established in so many places. I'm just curious if 2 3 there's some sort of chart that shows all the bike 4 lanes. 5 MR. FISCHER: There's a list --6 we're trying to put them in as -- where roads have been 7 changed, because it's very difficult to -- sometimes 8 you have to shift markings around and it's very 9 difficult to remove markings. So there's an overall 10 plan, but it's not implemented in any logical manner, 11 because the roads aren't paved in any logical manner. 12 They're paved as they're worn out. It's hard to be --13 to establish a real consistent pattern for that, but I 14 can get you something to respond to your question. 15 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Okay. I 16 appreciate that. Any other discussion, Commissioners? 17 Seeing none, is there a motion? Is there a motion? 18 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that --19 are we going to do all three together, Madam Chair? 20 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Are they all 21 bike lanes? If they are and you're comfortable. Item 22 2 is the bike lanes, item 3 is something else. 23 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Okay. What I

just heard was a description of adding bike lanes in

three different locations.

24

25

1	MR. FISCHER: I believe there's one
2	more. There's Cedar Street, too.
3	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: It's still
4	item 2?
5	MR. FISCHER: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: You're talking
7	about three locations. You can take them all.
8	MR. FISCHER: I don't think I
9	mentioned Cedar Street. That goes from Columbus
10	Avenue. To answer your question, we can talk all three
11	together.
12	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we
13	approve the bike lane proposals that have just been
14	described.
15	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I have a
17	motion by Commissioner Lawlor and second by Commission
18	Miranda. Any further discussion? Seeing none,
19	Commissioner Lawlor?
20	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
22	Miranda.
23	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
25	Meares?

1 COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 3 Brooks? 4 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm yes, as 6 well. 7 We'll move to item 3. 8 MR. FISCHER: Item 3 is the request 9 from Alder Redente to establish no standing 10 regulation -- I've got my paperwork all out of order 11 here. No standing regulation on the east side of 12 Clinton Avenue from Chatham Street to Grafton Street. 13 This is along the park and this has to do with issues 14 with bad behavior from people who are parking in that 15 area and double parking, causing litter. The Alder is 16 asking that we establish this regulation to see if we 17 can curb the bad activity in that area. So we're going 18 to try that, with your permission, to see if we can 19 help clear up a social problem. 20 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 21 Lawlor. 22 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I have a 23 question. I think I know what no standing means, but 24 can you just explain what no standing means? 25 MR. FISCHER: In city ordinances

there's a distinction between no parking and no standing, which is that under a no parking regulation you're not allowed to park, but you're allowed to drop off passengers, and you're also allowed to load and unload. And under no standing regulation you're not allowed to drop off, but you can load and unload. You are allowed to stop to drop off passengers, so there's a minor distinction between no standing and no parking. Very subtle difference.

stop, someone gets out of the car, someone gets into the car, that's allowed under this, but if you're just sitting there for no kind of reason for an extended period of time, even though you're in the car, that would be a violation of this ordinance?

MR. FISCHER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: How does that help with social problems? You weren't specific, but is that social problem a police issue, that the police need to know about certain activities in that area.

CHIEF JACOBSON: That area has some violent crime, drug trafficking, stuff like that, so people just sitting in cars for extended periods of time in those areas, whether they're selling drugs, waiting to shoot somebody, or whatever, which isn't

1 always the case; right? This would help us to work in 2 that area a little more -- you know, it's going to move people along where there'll be, A, less police contact 3 4 but also less hanging out and less issues. 5 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: This is 6 definitely something that you support? 7 CHIEF JACOBSON: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: If I could ask 9 the question, Chief. Your goal with this new ordinance 10 would be when officers see someone standing there 11 basically, sitting in their car, they can go up to the 12 car and say hey, you got to move, or if they don't, 13 they get a ticket or otherwise. 14 CHIEF JACOBSON: Absolutely. And it 15 stems from the package store there. We have a lot of 16 problems with the package store, people going, getting 17 drinks, hanging out there; whether they be drinking 18 selling drugs, it's led to violence, so. 19 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Okay. 20 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Any other 21 questions, Commissioners? 22 COMMISSIONER MEARES: I have one 23 quickly. If it's a known problem and it sounds like 24 there's police presence there already, what are they

doing without the no standing sign? I just want to

25

have a better sense of how -- because the ordinance -Commissioner Lawlor said the new ordinance, but the
ordinance already exists that allows the posting of the
sign, subject to approval by this body, I guess. So
I'm just trying to understand how having the no
standing sign makes it easier for the officers to
approach, if they already think there's an issue;
right?

you.

CHIEF JACOBSON: I mean, this is an area where it would help to have a regular visible presence, and we can't do that. We're not able to do that.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: Without the standing -- that's the authorization. Once you have the sign, then that gives you a justification for having someone to --

CHIEF JACOBSON: And to just move people along, and once people realize that they're going to get stopped if they're standing there, they really don't stay there, then I don't have to keep an officer continuously. An officer comes through every hour, clears it out, or makes sure it's cleared out, it helps with the staffing shortages that we have.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: Okay. Thank

1	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'll entertain
2	a motion for approval.
3	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: So moved,
4	Madam Chair.
5	COMMISSIONER MEARES: I'll second
6	it.
7	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I have a
8	motion by Commissioner Brooks for approval and seconded
9	by Commissioner Meares. Any further discussion?
10	Commissioner Brooks?
11	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
13	Mears?
14	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
16	Lawlor?
17	COMMISSIONER LAWLER: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
19	Miranda?
20	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm yes, as
22	well.
23	On that, we'll move to item 4.
24	MR. FISCHER: Item No. 4 is a
25	request to install three ADA handicapped spaces at 83

1	Admiral, 158 Cedar Hill Avenue, and 58 Sea Street, and
2	to remove one space that's currently where a resident
3	has moved, 56 Arthur Street.
4	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Any
5	discussion? I'll entertain a motion.
6	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: So moved,
7	Madam Chair.
8	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a
10	motion we have a motion by Commissioner Brooks, I
11	believe, we have a second by Commissioner Lawlor. No
12	fur discussion. Commissioner Brooks, how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commission
15	Lawlor?
16	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
18	Meares?
19	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
21	Miranda?
22	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm a yes, as
24	well. Thank you, Mr. Fischer, for the agenda this
25	evening, and we look forward to seeing you next month,

1	but also see you	in the next couple of	months to get an
2	update on item No	o. 1.	
3		MR. FISCHER: Is there	e a motion to
4	adjourn?		
5		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Yes. Thank
6	you. Is there a	motion to adjourn?	
7		COMMISSIONER LAWLOR:	I'll move that
8	we adjourn, Madam	n Chair.	
9		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Is there a
10	second?		
11		COMMISSIONER BROOKS:	Second, Madam
12	Chair.		
13		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Motion to
14	adjourn from the	traffic authority meet	ting by
15	Commissioner Lawl	or, seconded by Commis	ssioner Brooks.
16	Any discussion?	Commissioner Lawlor?	
17		COMMISSIONER LAWLOR:	Yes.
18		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Commissioner
19	Brooks?		
20		COMMISSIONER BROOKS:	Yes.
21		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Commissioner
22	Meares?		
23		COMMISSIONER MEARES:	Yes.
24		CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO:	Commissioner
25	Miranda?		

COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm a yes, as well. We are adjourned at 6:47 p.m. Thank you, Mr. Fischer.

1	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I will now
2	call the Board of Police Commissioners meeting to order
3	at 6:47 p.m., and the first order of business is to
4	approve the agenda for this evening. What's your
5	pleasure, Commissioners?
6	COMMISSIONER MEARES: I move that we
7	approve the agenda that we've all received for this
8	evening for the Board of Police Commissioners.
9	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a
11	motion by Commissioner Meares. I believe the second
12	was Commissioner Miranda. Any discussion? Okay.
13	Commissioner Meares?
14	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
16	Miranda?
17	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
19	Brooks?
20	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
22	Lawlor?
23	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: And I'm a yes,
25	as well. The motion passes.

1 We'll have discussion on approval of 2 the regular meeting minutes of July 9, 2024. 3 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I make a 4 motion we approve the minutes of July 29, 2024. 5 COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Second. 6 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Did I say 7 regular? 8 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We're in the 9 regular. Are you trying to approve the special first? 10 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I make a 11 motion that we approve the regular minutes of July 9, 12 2024. 13 COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Second. 14 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a 15 motion by Commissioner Brooks and a second by 16 Commissioner Miranda. 17 I just want to note there's a couple of scribner's errors that need to be addressed. 18 19 regular session minutes, first of all, does state July 20 29th. It should state July 9th on the minutes on page 21 1, so that needs to be updated. On page 11 under 22 executive session it states executive session began at 23 7:05 p.m. It states that Commissioner Ribeiro motioned 24 to come out of executive session, which is not right,

because I don't make the motions. It should state

25

1	Commissioner Walker motioned to come out of executive
2	session. Those scribner errors need to be updated.
3	And on page 13, the last bullet before other business,
4	it talks about a basketball game and it says it was
5	held on Gulf Street, and it should be Goffe Street. So
6	if those corrections are accepted by the maker of the
7	motion to approve the minutes with the necessary
8	corrections.
9	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes, Madam
10	Chair. I will amend my motion to reflect those
11	necessary corrections.
12	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: And I believe
13	the commissioner seconded it. Would you agree with
14	that? Any other discussion?
15	Commissioner Brooks?
16	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
18	Miranda?
19	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA? Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
21	Meares?
22	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
24	Lawlor?
25	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Are you 2 yawning, Commissioner Meares? 3 COMMISSIONER MEARES: Not at all. 4 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Motion passes. 5 I'll entertain a motion for approval 6 of the special meeting minutes of July 29, 2024. 7 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I make the 8 motion we approve the July 29th special meeting 9 minutes. 10 COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: I'll second. 11 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I just want to 12 note there are two scribner errors in this one also 13 that I believe should be corrected. On page 2 under 14 executive session, I think it says polie, it should say 15 police. There's a C missing. Under executive session 16 that ended at 6:30 p.m., the candidate name was 17 Candidate Tyler Bradley not Brandley. I think that's 18 important to get the name correct. So those are the 19 two scribner errors that I noted, so if the maker of 20 the motion accepts that correction. 21 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes, Madam 22 Chair. 23 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Okay. So we 24 have the motion to accept the minutes with the 25 correction. Any discussion? Commissioner Brooks?

1 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 3 Miranda? 4 COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 6 Meares? 7 COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner 9 Lawlor? 10 COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm a yes, as 12 well. Thank you for your patience with that. We'll 13 move on to the chief's report. 14 MR. JACOBSON: Good evening, 15 Commissioners. Good to see everyone. I'm going to 16 start off raving about our PAL program again. We 17 finished our camp up in the beginning of August. We had 120 kids at camp for the 6-week camp. We had rave 18 19 reviews from the parents and kids that attended and, of 20 course, we also used Youth at Work workers, older 21 teenagers in high school, to do the work and our PAL 22 group brought them to Six Flags afterwards, and just 23 really great camp no problems and, again, I appreciate 24 Sergeant Ferrante and his people. 25 The PAL program itself is up to ten

different programs, like we talk about a lot. Now it's up to ten programs where 700 kids are participating in PAL. So we really, really expanded PAL. I'm very proud of that, proud of the officers partaking in it.

Just recently, one of our brand-new officers that you guys recently hired, Officer Toussaint, who's of Haitian descent. He said, hey Chief, we don't have soccer. I said, go grab Sergeant Ferrante and let's start a soccer program. We started a soccer program in the Fair Haven area, and there's 70 kids in the soccer program. Even the new officers are excited about it and getting involved, so that's doing very well, so I appreciate their work.

On August 5th, I'm sure you did see in the media, we had an officer involved situation where someone under the influence of drugs and alcohol jumped into a police car, tried to take off. I want to commend the officer, Officer Roch, whose quick thinking turned the wheel of the police car into a wall, both of them had minor injuries, but I'm happy to report Officer Roch is already back to work and doing well.

The next day we had a 10-year old shot in the city. That was very troubling. We don't believe that house was the intended target, but the area was targeted. Since that has happened, we've made

great strides with that. They're doing multiple search warrants, recovering evidence, and I hope to report an arrest on that shortly. We found from our NIBIN machine, and all the stuff that we have, that that shooting does link to several other shootings in the city.

On that point, since we were doing fairly well with violent crimes in August, we had a spike of about 16 shootings in 12 days. But officers did a lot of work, we did a lot of work with our community partners, we did a lot of custom notifications with Project Longevity, and we've seen a decrease again, but in that period of time, from the second week of July until now, the officers in patrol and investigative services division have seized 34 guns, which has helped lead to this downward trend again in shootings.

We do have eight homicides this year, but we made four arrests on those eight homicides, and we should be making two more arrests shortly, so the detective bureau is working very well.

Overall, between homicides and shootings, I was just going to run down the last three years. Right now we're currently at 61 homicides and shootings. Last year at this time we were at 59. And

two years ago we were at 81. So you can see, you know, we've -- we have a small uptick this year, but we're very way lower in homicides this year. But, then again, shots fired and that's what you look at as a trend. We have 102 confirmed shots fired at this point. Last year at this time we had 178 and in 2022, at this point in that year, we had 194. So we're trending in the right direction, we're going the right things. I'm very proud of this police department moving forward.

We did have a busy busy weekend. We are struggling with personnel issues due to the small numbers we do have. The city was extremely busy. We had a lot of different events. We had the Puerto Rican Festival. We had the you Newhallville reunion, and we're just struggling. The downtown area is very vibrant, lots of people with the schools coming back. We're going to see some challenges. So we're hoping to get the next list out. I know we have a special meeting, and I know we keep hitting you up for special meetings, but we really need to hire and get our numbers back up.

The only other new thing is the CRB and my staff have been working pretty well together, but we're sad to hear that Attorney Beth Murphy has

stepped down from the CRB. I really felt there was a lot of good things going on. We will continue to be good partners with them.

That's all I have, Commissioners.

Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER MEARES: Two questions

for you. First question is about the shooting involving the child. You said there's a lot of activity, warrants, and so on. I'm wondering about the response of the people in the neighborhood. Are they giving you information, are they talking to you, how has that gone?

well. I mean, I feel like we're -- more than half of our homicide are solved, because people are talking to us more and more. I feel like we're getting more community support, the community is pitching in. We did two canvases already in that immediate area.

Immediately after it happened, the next morning, and then a day later we brought Yale Child Study. We saw a lot of people talking to us, hey, I saw this, this is going on, and also, you know, in years past doing canvas after canvas. Now we see people actually taking the services, calling Yale Child Study. I think that's

a real sign of them trusting us more and trusting the systems we have in place. So I am -- although, you know, it's never good when a 10-year old is grazed by a bullet, but I'm encouraged by the reaction of us and our partners but also of the community to us, so thank you for that question.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: That's really great, and you're bringing up something that I'm interested in, and I would love at the next meeting if we could get a 3-year trend on clearance rate.

CHIEF JACOBSON: No problem.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: My second is question is -- I'm just channeling Commissioner Walker on the hiring. One of the questions he often asks is where we are in terms of hiring vis-a-vis retirements and, you know -- where are we?

CHIEF JACOBSON: We're not good. I have to be honest, we're struggling. We just started that academy and you guys were a huge part of that, but we only got nine and, in comparison, Bridgeport got 40 people. So Bridgeport has a better retirement, higher pay. You know, there was a time where people would pass up Bridgeport to come to New Haven, and we've got to get back to that time. I know the mayor is working had to get a contract. We're working hard with the

union. We have to do something soon.

There was a retirement on there we're going to hit later, 10-year officer. That's concerning to me. I don't want them to leave after ten years to go to another department where they can do a 20-year pension. That's real life; right? So I'm concerned but I -- you know, we talk to the troops every day, and they know that we're fighting for them, and they know that the wages offered by the city are extremely better, so we just got to get it done.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Any other

questions, commissioners? Or comments?

I just want to say to you and, you know, your team to just, you know, continue. I know there's a lot going on in the city. I know you talked about it just now and we talk about it often, but we do appreciate all the hard work that you're going and we know people are doing two or three jobs. At the same time make sure everyone takes mental health breaks and gets rest, because we want to have officers who feel good and are safe, so thank you.

CHIEF JACOBSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We'll move on

to internal affairs report.

MS. AGOSTO: For Internal Affairs, we have a few closures this month. The first one we're going to talk about, the complainant is Adeva Minder. This came in on July 24, 2023. For this case police officers with dispatched on a domestic dispute involving the complainant, Miss Minder, and her child's father. She stated that the father showed up at her home with his new girlfriend and they got into -- they caused a disturbance. She claimed that he had punched her in the face and broke her cellphone.

Officer Crosby was the one who responded to the scene. She spoke with Miss Minder, whose account of the incident didn't seem to corroborate with the evidence that she observed. There was no visible injuries observed to Miss Minder's face, and the phone did not appear to have been broken in the manner that Miss Minder was claiming.

She also spoke with the other party.

Miss Minder's child's father stated that the person
that Miss Minder believed was the girlfriend was not
the girlfriend, was actually his landlord who he
brought to have as a witness, because she has caused
issues with false reporting and accusing him of things
in the past. He stated that he was there to drop off
their child, and she became upset because she thought

that the landlord was actually his girlfriend, so she began to argue with him. The landlord stated that she stepped in between them so that the incident wouldn't escalate, and they went back to his car to wait because she stated she was going to call the police, so he wanted to wait to be able to give his side of the story.

As they were waiting for police
Minder's friend showed up and took out what they
believed to be a firearm and threatened to shoot them
waving, again what they believe was a firearm, in the
air. She also began banging on the window with it.
Upon speaking with Miss Piercey, she stated she did
have a registered firearm, but she didn't have it with
her. It was home. She did show Officer Crosby a black
firearm holster that was holding her cellphone and
admitted that that's what she was using to bang the
window with.

about a Ring doorbell that she observed that would have caught the whole incident. Miss Minder stated that the doorbell was not working; however, Officer Crosby was able to show that it was functioning as it should. She rang the doorbell a couple of times and it rang and lit up as it was working, it was a functioning Ring

doorbell, but she stated she wouldn't have any video.

Miss Piercey was arrested for the incident; however, Officer Crosby, because of the conflicting accounts and the lack of evidence, did not feel she established probable cause for an arrest for the domestic.

After, Miss Minder completed a complaint form on July 21st of 2023 stating that Officer Crosby didn't make the arrest regarding the domestic, and she felt she wasn't given the protection and feared that he would return. Miss Minder also claimed that she had bruising at the time.

We were able to look at body camera footage and reviewed reports. We were not able to speak with Miss Minder, because she did not respond to our request for a voluntary interview but, according to the body camera footage, it was all pretty much corroborating what the incident report initially indicated, and we did not feel that she was able to develop probable cause to arrest Miss Minder's child's father.

So in this case we did not find any violations and the investigation was closed as exonerated. Any questions on that one?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioners?

No.

MS. AGOSTO: The next one we received on October 27, 2023. The complainant was Pierre Gozzing. This incident happened in Fair Haven. So Officers Rodriguez and Rivera -- this was in October 2nd of 2003. I mean 2023. I apologize. They observed an unknown white male riding a dirt bike on River Street near Ferry Street. They reported that the officer -- the operator wore all black clothing with a facemask and a distinctive bedazzled silver black shoes that sparkled.

Later that day Officers Rivera and Rodriguez observed the white male with a similar description, including the similar shoes, walking into an area -- walking in the area of Poplar Street and entering a store on Poplar Street. They went into the store and in an attempt to identify who turned out to be Mr. Gozzing. Mr. Gozzing refused to provide them any identification and was uncooperative and irate, and he began recording the interaction with his cellphone and asking for a supervisor.

He continued to deny giving officers their identification. Officers ended up handcuffing him. He finally did give his identification card once the supervisor came on scene. They were able to

identify him, check him for warrants, and then later released. He, in his complaint, stated that the officers grabbed him when he was at the location and that he was escorted outside of the store and that they demanded his identification. He admitted to refusing to give officers his identification after they asked him repeatedly, and he asked what he was being accused of. They informed him what he was being accused of, and he stated he did not have a motorcycle with him.

He stated Officer Rodriguez forces him against his will and handcuffed him, which caused some pain due to a previous injury that he had, and then once Mr. Gozzing spoke with Sergeant Sanchez, he said he saw Sergeant Sanchez speak with the officers, but he did not feel Sergeant Sanchez addressed the officers properly.

We looked at this case, we reviewed body camera footage, and reviewed the reports, an we also reviewed case law pertaining to these types of incidents, and we believe that this met the threshold for articulable individualized suspicion, which is reasonable articular suspicion for an individual based upon a featured item or article unique that is particular to this individual. So those shiny bedazzled sneakers, both officers claimed that they

haven't seen those sneakers on anyone at any time during the times that they spent working in their district. So because of this, that stop was deemed appropriate and there was no violation of the law or general order and this investigation was closed as exonerated. Any questions on that one?

COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: I don't have a question, but on the top of the report in the bold letters the disposition reads sustained.

MS. AGOSTO: I apologize. That is an updated version of it, but I guess it didn't come out on time.

The next one we received on April 10, 2024. The complainant was Anthony Burnett. On 10, 2024, New Haven officers responded to what came in as a domestic dispute involving Mr. Burnett and his roommate. Mr. Burnett claims he had a verbal dispute with his roommate regarding oil that was burning. He stated that his roommate woke him up because he was complaining that the oil made the smoke alarm go off.

Neither party reported any violence or threat of violence. There was an active restraining order against Mr. Burnett's roommate; however, it was determined that none of the stipulations were violated. The incident was document and no arrest was made.

Later that day, on April 10, 2024, we received a complaint from Mr. Burnett simply stating to please call him. When we called him, he stated he was upset because he had an active restraining order against his roommate and no arrest was made. It was verified that the stipulations were not -- the stipulations to the restraining order were not violated, and there was no reason to make an arrest at that time. The complaint was closed as unfounded. Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: No.

MS. AGOSTO: The next was April 15, 2024. The complainant was Atianna Arder. We received this complaint, which stated that she had been brought to detention regarding a warrant and officers began asking her questions. She told them that she knew her Miranda rights and refused to answer any of the questions and she claimed there was an abuse of the officer's power and authority because he continued to ask questions.

She claimed excessive force when Officers McMorris and Gonzalez took a shirt from her from when she, and this is her own words, acted out and was in handcuffs. She claimed when they did this, they crushed her fingers and her skin was cut and bruised.

The investigation, which included reviews of the reports, body camera footage, also included Milestone Camera footage and interviews, showed the questions that they asked were the routine booking questions, which do not fall under Miranda protection. And, pertaining to the shirt, Miss Arder actually had taken her shirt off and was attempting to put it around her neck in an attempt to hang herself. So they pulled on the shirt to prevent her from hurting herself and she began to struggle with them, and eventually they ended up having to cut the shirt to get it away from her to deter her from hanging herself.

So because of this, we saw that there was no evaluation and the officers, although they did take the shirt away from her and they did ask questions, they were all within law and policies; therefore, they were exonerated. Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: No.

MS. AGOSTO: Our last one was received on June 28, 2024. The complainant is Kiara Rodriguez. She claimed that she was pulled over by K9 Officer Prusinski for the front window tints. She stated during the stop that she was asked to step out -- she was asked to step out of the vehicle and be searched and that the K9 searched her vehicle without

her permission. She mentioned that this was the second time that Officer Prusinski stopped her for the same thing, and once we looked into this investigation, we reviewed the reports, we watched the body camera footage, and it shows the motor vehicle stop was legitimate and that the search of her person was just -- because he asked her out of the vehicle, was just to make sure that she didn't have any weapons and the search by the K9 was actually an open air sniff that was permissible and it was during the time that he -- it did not extend the stop and that officers did not do an actual search of the vehicle until the K9 officer had a positive hit on the vehicle, which was the search of the vehicle at this time.

This case was closed as unfounded.

Any questions on that one?

if I may ask, just for my own knowledge and information. The complaints that are filed against these individual officers and they're exonerated, does that still make it into their personnel file, so if somebody wanted to research, whatever officer, would will that come up in their file?

MS. AGOSTO: They are retained in their file, but the retention period is different than

if they were sustained. Sustained would be --

CHIEF JACOBSON: So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. AGOSTO: Right now, retention period is three years.

CHIEF JACOBSON: So thank you, Lieutenant. Commissioner, an unfounded unsustained is retained for three years, and at that time, after the three years, the officer can have it removed. sustained stays for 30 years, by state law. This is per state law. I would say that, say, we have seven unfounded, we obviously have an issue. So we do monitor that, even though it's unfounded, maybe if we have a bunch of unfounded and we need to look at why we're having that and look closer at this person, or whatever. I mean, we're really -- and the lieutenant is doing a great job. We're trying to catch up. way behind. You see the dates on some of these. They're catching up, which is important. The officer couldn't have it hanging over they head, and the community should get action as soon as possible; right? So both ways. We put extra people in IA, and we're trying to catch up.

On the flip side, sure, it may be unfounded, but two or three unfounded for the same thing, maybe we need to look back. Maybe they need

some training. The individual case might not have spurred a training, but maybe the three. I hope that answers your question.

Thank you so much, because you opened up about the other side of that, that if it's creating a pattern that causes one to raise an issue of how our police officers are interacting with community, so thank you for that, because I was just looking at the first one, and it just seemed to me someone who was scorned or upset but then makes an unjust accusation against a police officer, and that could be a challenge, and it's difficult enough to do this job without being falsely accused of something, but you raised a very valid point about creating patterns that may not be violations, but certainly they're not good for community policing, so thank you for that.

MS. AGOSTO: We do try to keep track of that. If there's officers that are coming through our office a little too often, we do have some other conversations, even if maybe these cases have been exonerated or unfounded, we do look more into them to see if there a some kind of issue that we can address.

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Thank you for sharing that. Kudos to you, Chief, and your team.

CHIEF JACOBSON: Thank you for the lieutenant and her people. We have a serious backlog. We're going to get caught up and do it the right way, not just for the officers but the community members, too.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Speaking of the backlog, what is missing from the back of this is a list of all the open IAs, so I don't know if it was an oversight, but if we can start having that back next month.

apologize. We were doing the adjustment with the of missing of the admin and now retraining another admin to kind of take her place, so some things might have gotten lost in translation, but next month we'll definitely have everything back in order.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Also, I see that this looks like it's new, an Internal Affairs complaint breakdown. Maybe it's the same report but in a different format. I'm not really sure. What I'm a little concerned about is the 2024, your 20 neglected duties complaints in IA, and I don't know if that's something that's been brought by the community or by the chief. I kind of want to understand, have you classified a neglected duty complaint, and that's a

high number compared to the other type of complaints received this year?

duty can be anything ranging from something as simple as the complainant just felt that there wasn't enough of an investigation conducted or that there was something missing during their investigation that they didn't do. It encompasses a lot. A lot of those neglect of duties we found to not be -- to be unfounded. And once we talk to the complainant, they kind of understand it more. They don't understand maybe policies or law in some cases, so sometimes it's just a matter of talking to a complainant and giving them a little it be more information as to what happened.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: So, yeah, if you're thinking about using this format or introducing this specific report, it will be good to have some kind of context, because if you can have a number 20, but 19 are unfounded, it would kind of be good to see that breakdown, because just looking at it, it's like wow, it's not good. So I think it would be a little more transparent.

Anything else, Commissioners?

Personnel matters. We'll move on to personnel matters.

We have on the agenda discussion and action on recommendations from Chief Anthony Campbell, Yale Police Department to hire the following candidate, Yannick Adjei. I hope I said that correctly. Chief.

and thank you, Commissioners, for your patience. I know these meetings can sometimes be long, and we've been popping up a lot on your calendar as we're trying to get fully staffed. Before you I present Officer Yannick Adjei. He's a police officer in Maryland, a veteran officer, being working for about eight years as an officer. A few of you have had the opportunity to interview him. I had the privilege of interviewing him after a member of my staff had interviewed him, and he truly is, I think, going to be an asset to, not only to our department but to the New Haven community.

He's familiar with the city, has
lived here, has family here, and really is very
conscientious of not just being a police officer but
about how he interacts with all human beings in his
role as a police officer, and that's what I'm looking
for. I look forward to your decision, hopefully, to
have him on board, because I think that he's one of our
best candidates we've been presented with in the last
five or six months, and it brings us one step closer to

completely staffing the Yale Police Department. I will only have one vacancy open after him. With that, I present Yannick Adjei.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We did have the opportunity to interview him the other day, and we had good conversation, but I just want to know, like, where do you find these people and can you help Chief Jacobson out? You have one vacancy left.

CHIEF CAMPBELL: Chief Jacobson will tell you the best way to get references is the very officers in your department, and that's where Yannick came from. He was referred by one of our current officers who used to work with him in Maryland, and they didn't steer us wrong.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you, sir. Commissioner Lawlor, did you want to add anything.

COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: As you said,

Madam Chair, you and I had the honor of interviewing

Officer Adjei the other day. I think it's fair to say

we're both very impressed. I think it's also worth

noting, he just returned from overseas deployment, and

we appreciate his service to the country, and he's a

native of Ivory Cove, which is also very impressive. I

mentioned to him, during our interview, how important

1	it is to us in New Haven, whether it's Yale PD or New
2	Haven PD, to have the type of officers who are coming
3	here, not necessarily as 20-year olds, and have
4	experience and bringing cultural awareness that comes
5	from having been born in another country, speaking
6	another language. I think that's very effective when
7	you're dealing with the elaborate sort of array of
8	cultures we have here, fortunately, in New Haven. I
9	think it's a great addition to our community's
10	policing, both Yale and New Haven together, so I'm
11	happy to support him tonight.
12	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you.
13	Commissioners, you have a recommendation. What is your
13	Commissioners, you have a recommendation. What is your pleasure?
14	pleasure?
14 15	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we
14 15 16	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to
14 15 16 17	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department.
14 15 16 17 18	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department. COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second it.
14 15 16 17 18	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department. COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second it. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a
14 15 16 17 18 19	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department. COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second it. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a motion by Commissioner Lawlor and a second by
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pleasure? COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department. COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second it. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a motion by Commissioner Lawlor and a second by Commissioner Brooks. Any further discussion?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: I move that we accept the recommendation to appoint Officer Adjei to the Yale Police Department. COMMISSIONER BROOKS: I second it. CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a motion by Commissioner Lawlor and a second by Commissioner Brooks. Any further discussion? Commissioner Lawlor, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
3	Miranda?
4	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
6	Meares.
7	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm a yes, as
9	well. Congratulations Officer Adjei and Chief
10	Campbell.
11	CHIEF CAMPBELL: Thank you so much,
12	Commissioners. We appreciate you all.
13	OFFICER ADJIE: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Moving on,
15	retirements for board information only, we have Officer
16	Ivelisse Ortiz effective July 31, 2024 and Officer
17	Richard Lennon effective July 29, 2024. Any questions,
18	Commissioners?
19	Resignations, we have Officer in
20	Training, Harry Collins, effective July 25, 2024,
21	Officer Aldo Ojeda effective August 1, 2024. Any
22	questions? I believe you got copies of their
23	resignation letters.
24	Recruitment selection committee.
25	ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: He's on

1 vacation.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Didn't he just come back? Do we have a report?

ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: Just briefly, the recruitment background team has been going to events. They were just at the Puerto Rican Festival on Saturday for recruitment, and we have some upcoming fall career fairs at several different colleges, a few out of state, as well, so they will be attending those events.

And we have, as the chief mentioned earlier, we have our civil service special meeting tomorrow, and they should be certifying the list which we'll forward to you for approval on the 19th.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Any questions, commissioners? Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: I just want to note we're not putting any policies up today, but I wanted to, for the visibility of the commission and the public, to let everybody know that we are moving towards our second year of accreditation, which is due near the end of this year, so we will have a number of new policies that are coming in the next three meetings.

Commissioner Ribeiro and I met today

with AC Bhagtana and LC Agosto to develop a plan that will hopefully be efficient and transparent, so.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you.

Yes. There's lots going on. The committee is committed to working with the department to make sure that this is done efficiently and effectively, so we'll certainly have more information to share and the chief will be meeting with you at some point for further discussion.

CHIEF JACOBSON: I appreciate your work. I heard a little bit about your meeting today, but whatever you need, we'll work together and get everything done.

COMMISSIONER MEARES: That's good to hear, Chief. I do want to say, just to remind everyone and any members of the public, that one of the most important bedrock foundations of any police agency's work are our policies. They're the foundation of accountability. If you don't have that, if you don't have the basement, you can't build the house. And so we have a lot of work to do. We got through tier 1, but we have some special orders that we have to clear up, and tier 2 has some really important policies. I'm glad to hear that everybody is on board, excited, and ready to put their shoulders to the wheel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you.

Thank you Chief Bhagtana and Lieutenant Agosto for the meetings on staffing. Finance committee.

ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: Thank you for meeting with us this morning.

As far as the finance committee, I'll provide some general updated. Property room, Lieutenant Portela and Sergeant King are wrapping up our file 2 training. Only people that are left are admin and people that are out on injured, so we should finish that up within the next few weeks and next up is the animal shelter. We're working with the Humane Commission and corporation counsel on a comprehensive policy manual. We also had cameras installed at the animal shelter. The work has been completed. were just waiting for them to be connected to the server, which is a Milestone system.

Next up, promotional exams. We have a captain's test scheduled for August 28th and we're still working on the other ranks completing the job analysis of the other ranks, especially the lieutenant and detective ranks.

The academy class, we have eight New Haven recruits in the academy class; however, there are people from other departments, as well.

Next up, facilities. The animal storage project at Wintergreen is still in progress.

Vehicles, we, as I mentioned at the last meeting, the new vehicles are slowly trickling in, which are the Dodge Durangos. They are big outfitted for patrol. There's a police pursuit package, which needs full light package; computers, dashboard cameras, etc.

Personnel updates, animal shelter is -- has one vacancy for municipal assistant animal control officer. The list will be served by tomorrow at the civil service meeting. Once we have the list, we'll start planning interviews to fill that position at the animal control -- excuse me, at the animal shelter.

Records division, we're down eight or nine records clerks. The lieutenant and the supervisor is conducting the interviews, and so far we have seven people that we're interested in. We're sending job offers to them, hopefully they accept them, and, lastly, grant coordinator position. The offer was accepted and the start date -- onboarding for the individual is on August 26th or 25th. One of those. So we're excited to work with a new person. There will be a learning curve. There's a lot of things that she

will be learning. As long as, you know, we have the right people in place, we will be able to teach her. That's all, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Any questions?

MS. MEARES: I have a couple
questions. For the vehicle, how many have come in and
where are they being deployed?

CHIEF JACOBSON: They're not on the street yet. They will be deployed in patrol in the districts. Two of them will go as supervisor -- patrol supervisor cars. None of them will be going to any admin positions or take-home cars. They're all going on the street for the cops.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: How many?

CHIEF JACOBSON: Fifteen. First

wave is 15, so 13 will hit the districts. The other

thing about that car is it has a special seatbelt

system where you don't have to lean in to buckle in a

prisoner. It's the top of the line. The system is set

up, there's a larger passenger compartment for

transport. They sit in there and it's literally like

you just pull it down. You know what I'll do is I'll

have a videotape of it done, because it's really good.

The officer doesn't have to reach in the car, it's more

comfortable for the suspect, and it's more comfortable

1 to use for the officer. It's just top of the line. 2 We're really happy to get these cars with that in 3 there. 4 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Chief, would 6 it be possible, for those of us that would like to, to 7 just come down and take a look at the new inventory of 8 vehicles coming in? 9 ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: 10 Absolutely. It's up to you. 11 CHIEF JACOBSON: I would wait until 12 they hit the road. What are we thinking, about two 13 weeks, a week? 14 ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: We're 15 waiting for some equipment to come in. We're at the 16 mercy of the people who are installing the dashboard 17 cameras. 18 CHIEF JACOBSON: I would wait until 19 then. We can send out an e-mail saying come down, and 20 we can show you the whole thing. 21 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: A second 22 question has to do with the humane commission, and I 23 know that, Chief Bhagtana, you and I have talked about 24 this. The policy manual that you're putting together,

is this -- because I know there's a general order on

25

the animal shelter and there was some discussion about them wanting to have input. I thought. Maybe I misunderstood, but they wanted to have some type of input on that, and I just want clarification in terms of the separation of duties between the humane commission, when it comes to the animal shelter, and the police commission in our ability to do general orders that govern the animal shelter, and how does this comprehensive policy manual fit into that. I don't know if that's a Chief Bhagtana question or Attorney King question.

ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: So I know we spoke about this and I actually met with Attorney King, and the attorneys for the humane commission. We looked through the ordinance and it says in there that the humane commission will adopt the policies and procedures for the animal shelter, which means we come up with policy and procedures, they give their feedback, and that's kind of the workflow we have going on. Attorney King, am I missing anything?

MS. KING: No, not as far as I know.

I haven't been updated on any of the latest particulars around that, but I know that the human commission is tasked, under the ordinance, with formulating policies and procedures. So it really boils down to having to

1 be a cooperative effort between the humane commission 2 and the animal shelter, because the animal shelter's 3 responsibility under state law -- the city's 4 responsibility is to run the animal shelter, so they're 5 working, as far as I know, cooperatively together to 6 try to come up with a policy that works -- is 7 acceptable to the humane commission and works for the 8 police department, which has to run the animal shelter. 9 I'm not aware that that's completed yet. Once that is, 10 I guess -- I hadn't, to be honest with you, really 11 thought about the police commission's role in this, but 12 it would seem like once the humane commission and the 13 police department have reached an agreement on the 14 policy and procedures manual, that it would seem maybe 15 it has to be submitted to this commission for approval. 16 CHIEF JACOBSON: This commission's 17 approval and input. I agree. 18 MS. KING: It's not a -- it's a 19 complex task, because of the -- you know, there are two 20 commissions in the department, but I have roles in it,

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: So, thank you for that. Not to take away any of the authority or the responsibility of the humane commission, they certainly

so we're hopeful that we'll be able to get something

21

22

23

24

25

resolved here.

1 need to do what they need to do in regards to the ordinance or anything else. I just want to ensure that 2 3 this commission is involved to the extent that they 4 need to be involved, if more research is needed in 5 terms of what our authorization and responsibility is, 6 I would appreciate that, because we're working on a 7 whole set of general orders. I do believe animal 8 shelter is one of those general orders; correct or 9 something to that effect? 10 ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: We don't 11 have the animal shelter as part of the accreditations 12 on the order. 13 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Not 14 accreditation, but do we have a general order? 15 ASSISTANT CHIEF BHAGTANA: We have 16 no policy governing the animal shelter. We have bulletins and things of that nature. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Okay. 19 CHIEF JACOBSON: We have guidelines 20 for who's the ACO. 21 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Maybe 22 semantics. 23 CHIEF JACOBSON: It doesn't touch base on it all, but what we're talking about is putting 24 25 together a whole policy and procedure manual just to be

1 clearer and better. Yes, there's different policies and procedures that touch base on who's to be where, 2 3 who's doing what. I wouldn't say there's none, but we 4 need to make it better. 5 CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: I'm just saying some type of coordination and if we need and are 6 7 able to get input, I'd like to see that happen, but 8 that's not to take away from their authorization. I 9 want to make that clear. I'm not trying to overstep or 10 step on anyone's toes. It's just that we have our 11 obligation, as well. 12 Anything else? Thank you for that. 13 Any other business? Do we have any public comment? 14 COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Madam Chair, I 15 just wanted to thank the chief and especially Chief 16 Ettienne for assisting -- a good friend of mine lost 17 his mother and he's a long-time staple of the

COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Madam Chair, I just wanted to thank the chief and especially Chief Ettienne for assisting -- a good friend of mine lost his mother and he's a long-time staple of the Newhallville community, and he asked for an escort for his mother. I wanted to thank the chief. I know it's tough to do these, but just thank you for being able to provide a service for a longtime standing resident of Newhallville.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JACOBSON: Any time we can, we will.

CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Thank you. Is

1	there any reason to go into executive session? Before
2	we adjourn, I just want to say thank you to everyone.
3	This meeting went a little longer than we probably
4	anticipated, but I think there was a lot of good,
5	healthy discussion, and I appreciate the commissioners
6	for that and for everyone for hanging out and say thank
7	you to Attorney King for stepping in for Attorney
8	DuPrey this evening. And also to your our court
9	reporter for stepping this evening, as well.
10	If we have no further business, then
11	I would entertain a motion for adjournment.
12	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: So moved,
13	Madam Chair.
14	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Second.
15	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: We have a
16	motion by Commissioner Brooks and a second by
17	Commissioner Meares for adjournment. Any further
18	discussion? Seeing none, Commissioner Brooks.
19	COMMISSIONER BROOKS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
21	Meares?
22	COMMISSIONER MEARES: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commissioner
24	Miranda?
25	COMMISSIONER MIRANDA: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: Commission
2	Lawlor?
3	COMMISSIONER LAWLOR: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON RIBEIRO: And I'm yes,
5	as well. We're adjourned at 7:44 p.m. Thank you,
6	Commissioners and everyone for this evening and have a
7	great rest of your night.
8	(Whereupon, the Hearing was
9	adjourned at 7:44 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	I, DEBRA A. CHASSE, Court Reporter and
duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby cert pursuant to Notice, the preceding 73 pages were stenographically and reduced to writing by me at the hearing is a true and accurate record of my	Notary Public within and for the State of Connecticut, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that
	pursuant to Notice, the preceding 73 pages were taken stenographically and reduced to writing by me and that
	the hearing is a true and accurate record of my stenographic notes.
9	
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
11	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 28th day of August, 2024.
12	70-
13	Debra A. Chasse, CSR
14	Notary Public State of Connecticut
15	
16	My Commission Expires: June 30, 2026
17	CSR No. 00055
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	